UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.            | FILING DATE                        | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|----------------------------|------------------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/519,530                 | 04/29/2005                         | Gregorios Kolios     | 18744-0029          | 2549             |
|                            | 7590 03/24/200<br>O ASBILL & BRENN | EXAMINER             |                     |                  |
| 999 PEACHTREE STREET, N.E. |                                    |                      | BARTS, SAMUEL A     |                  |
| ATLANTA, GA 30309          |                                    |                      | ART UNIT            | PAPER NUMBER     |
|                            |                                    |                      | 1621                |                  |
|                            |                                    |                      |                     |                  |
|                            |                                    |                      | MAIL DATE           | DELIVERY MODE    |
|                            |                                    |                      | 03/24/2008          | PAPER            |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

|  | Application No.   | Applicant(s)            |  |  |  |  |
|--|---|-------------------------|--|--|--|--|
|  | 10/519,530  | KOLIOS ET AL.           |  |  |  |  |
| Office Action Summary  | Examiner  | Art Unit                |  |  |  |  |
|  | Samuel A. Barts   | 1621                    |  |  |  |  |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address<br>Period for Reply  |   |                         |  |  |  |  |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). |   |                         |  |  |  |  |
| Status   |   |                         |  |  |  |  |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19 De   | Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19 December 2007.   |                         |  |  |  |  |
| ·= · ·   | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·   |                         |  |  |  |  |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowan  | ·—  |                         |  |  |  |  |
| closed in accordance with the practice under E.  | closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. |                         |  |  |  |  |
| Disposition of Claims  |   |                         |  |  |  |  |
| 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>2-17,19,21 and 23</u> is/are pending in the application.   |   |                         |  |  |  |  |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.   |   |                         |  |  |  |  |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.  |   |                         |  |  |  |  |
| 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>2-17,19,21 and 23</u> is/are rejected.   |   |                         |  |  |  |  |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.  |   |                         |  |  |  |  |
| 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or  | election requirement.   |                         |  |  |  |  |
| Application Papers   | ·   |                         |  |  |  |  |
|  |   |                         |  |  |  |  |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.   |   |                         |  |  |  |  |
| 10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.  |   |                         |  |  |  |  |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  |   |                         |  |  |  |  |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).   |   |                         |  |  |  |  |
| 11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa   | aminer. Note the attached Office  | Action or form PTO-152. |  |  |  |  |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119   |   |                         |  |  |  |  |
| <ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some col None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>  |   |                         |  |  |  |  |
| Attachment(s)  | _   |                         |  |  |  |  |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  | 4) Interview Summary  |                         |  |  |  |  |
| 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date  Notice of Informal Patent Application  |   |                         |  |  |  |  |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Other:  |   |                         |  |  |  |  |

Application/Control Number: 10/519,530 Page 2

Art Unit: 1621

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments filed 12/19/2007 have been fully considered but they are fully not persuasive.

- 2. With respect to the 112 rejections applicant's amendment is not fully sufficient to overcome all the 112 problems. More specifically, the phrase "in particular" in claims 7-11 is confusing and unclear. What scope are the claims limited to?
- 3. The arguments with respect to the 102 are not fully persuasive. Applicants argue that Hey fails to explicitly disclose the decomposition of ammonium salt in the gas phase. The examiner does not agree. Hey discloses two situations. A) When the conduits are not heated, that ammonium chloride condenses on the apparatus. B) When the conduits are heated, that ammonium chloride does not condense on the apparatus. In the second situation it's reasonable to assume that the ammonium chloride is formed in-situ and inherently decomposes into it corresponding molecules. This inherent decomposition reads on the broadest interpretation of the claims which simply require that the ammonium salt be brought into the gas phase at a temperature greater than 150° C.
- 4. Applicant has argued that Hey failed to explicitly teach a two stage step of converting an ammonium salt to its decomposition products. The examiner agrees that Hey fails to explicitly teach these steps. However, given the full disclosure of Hey, using multiple steps to regenerate (i.e. remove) ammonium salts from components would have been obvious. Clearly Hey disclosed the problem of ammonium salts forming on

Application/Control Number: 10/519,530 Page 3

Art Unit: 1621

process equipment. Hey also disclosed that this condensation problem could be avoided by keeping equipment heated at a specific temperature. Thus it was known prior to applicants filling this application that ammonium salts are decomposed at temperatures greater than 150° C. It would have been obvious at the time that applicant's invention was made to regenerate the process equipment in a process of making silicon nitrides. In particular it would have been obvious to heat process equipment which condensed ammonium salts have formed to a temperature of greater than 150° C. It is well with the purview of an artisan having ordinary skill to choose multiple methods to solve a well known problem.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 5. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
  The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 6. Regarding claim 7-11, the phrase "*in particular*" renders the claim indefinite because it is unclear whether the limitations following the phrase are part of the claimed invention. See MPEP § 2173.05(d).

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

7. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 8. Claims 2-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Hey. For reasons see previous office action and arguments present above.

Application/Control Number: 10/519,530 Page 4

Art Unit: 1621

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

9. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

10. Claims 2-17, 19 21 and 23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hey et al. For reasons see previous office action and arguments stated herein.

#### Conclusion

11. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Samuel A. Barts whose telephone number is 571-272-2870. The examiner can normally be reached on 6:30-3:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Yvonne Eyler can be reached on 571-272-0871. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Samuel A Barts/ Primary Examiner Art Unit 1621

sb